

2/26/2016 11:24:42 AM  
16CV06135

Sedgwick  
**Received**

4/27/2016

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

MELISSA COURY, Personal Representative of  
the Estate of RAYMOND COURY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, a Pennsylvania corporation, sued individually and as successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC.; CBS CORPORATION f/k/a VIACOM, INC., a Delaware corporation, sued as successor by merger with CBS Corporation f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation, successor-in-interest to BF STURTEVANT; CH MURPHY/CLARK-ULLMAN, INC., an Oregon corporation; FOSTER WHEELER, LLC, a New York corporation; FRASER'S BOILER SERVICE, INC., a Washington corporation, individually and as successor-in-interest to Fraser Boiler Works; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a New York corporation; INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, a New Jersey corporation; MAR-DUSTRIAL SALES, INC. an Oregon corporation; WARREN PUMPS, LLC, successor in interest to Warren Pumps, Inc., Quimby Pumps Co., and Warren Steam Pumps Co.; GOULDS PUMPS (IPG), INC., a Delaware corporation; METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a New York corporation; 3M COMPANY (also known as MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY), a foreign corporation,

Defendants.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT- Wrongful Death  
(Civil Action for Strict Liability and Negligence)**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

**NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION**

**Prayer Range: \$1,000,000-\$10,000,000  
Filing Fee Established By  
ORS 21.160(1)(d)**

1  
2  
3 Plaintiff alleges at all material times:

4 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5 **(Strict Liability against Manufacturer, Supplier, and Distributor Defendants)**

6 1.

7 At all times relevant to this action, Defendants conducted regular, sustained and not  
8 isolated business activity in the State of Oregon.

9 2.

10 Plaintiff, Melissa Coury, is the duly appointed Personal Representative of the Estate of  
11 Raymond Coury ("Decedent"), and a resident of the State of Oregon.

12 3.

13 Decedent's mesothelioma was diagnosed on March 21, 2014. This complaint is filed  
14 within the applicable statute of limitations.

15 4.

16 Decedent worked as a laborer, painter, painter supervisor, and supervisor in the Portland  
17 Oregon shipyards beginning in 1961. During this employment, Decedent was exposed to  
18 airborne asbestos fibers either through handling asbestos and asbestos containing materials in the  
19 performance of his work, being exposed to airborne asbestos fibers created by workers who  
20 handled, installed or fabricated asbestos containing materials, or who were exposed to those  
21 materials and then exposed Decedent to those fibers. Decedent incorporates all product  
22 identification set forth in Exhibit "A." Decedent further incorporates any asbestos exposure at  
23 any location reflected in Decedent's Social Security records, public documents, union records,  
24 testimony of co-workers, testimony of the Decedent and/or any other information in the  
25 possession of the Defendants reflecting Decedent's exposure to airborne asbestos fibers for  
26 which any Defendant is responsible. Defendants are in the possession of information regarding

1 the asbestos containing products and materials which they fabricated, sold, distributed or whose  
 2 specifications required asbestos containing materials for replacement or modification.  
 3 Defendants have public and private documents, acquired through litigation and other sources,  
 4 and have information regarding the materials which they manufactured, sold, distributed, or  
 5 fabricated which contained asbestos fibers which could become airborne. Defendants have  
 6 access to documents which reflect the nature and extent of Decedent's exposure to products  
 7 manufactured, fabricated, or distributed by Defendants. Decedent's exposure to Defendants'  
 8 products includes but is not limited to the products present at the locations identified in Exhibit  
 9 "A" and includes but is not limited to those products which Defendants, through extensive  
 10 discovery and litigation, as well as their own records and documents, are locations where the  
 11 Decedent worked and was exposed to Defendants' asbestos fibers. Decedent is continuing  
 12 discovery and reserves the right to revise Exhibit "A."

13                       5.

14                       At all material times:

- 15                       a) Air & Liquid Systems Corporation, sued individually and as successor by merger to  
                           BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. was and is a Pennsylvania corporation not registered to do  
                           business in the state of Oregon, engaged in the manufacturing, distribution and sale of  
                           asbestos-containing Buffalo Pumps equipment utilizing asbestos-containing products.  
                           This equipment included pumps.
- 20                       b) CBS Corporation f/k/a Viacom, Inc., sued as successor by merger with CBS  
                           Corporation f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation, was and is a Delaware  
                           corporation not registered to do business in the state of Oregon, engaged in the  
                           manufacture, sale and/or distribution of equipment utilizing asbestos-containing  
                           products. This equipment included Westinghouse turbines, generators, and pumps.  
                           This Defendant is being sued as a Manufacturer, Supplier, and Distributor defendant.

- 1       c) CH Murphy/Clark-Ullman, Inc., was and is an Oregon corporation registered to do
- 2              business in the state of Oregon, engaged in the manufacture, sale and/or distribution
- 3              of asbestos-containing products.
- 4       d) General Electric Company was and is a New York corporation registered to do
- 5              business in the state of Oregon, engaged in the manufacture, sale, and/or distribution
- 6              of equipment utilizing asbestos-containing products. This equipment included
- 7              turbines and generators.
- 8       e) Foster Wheeler LLC was and is a New York corporation registered to do business in
- 9              the state of Oregon engaged in the manufacture, distribution, and/or sale of asbestos-
- 10             containing products, including, but not limited to, insulation, engines, gaskets,
- 11             packing, turbines, and related component materials;
- 12       f) Fraser's Boiler Service, Inc., was and is a Washington corporation, individually and
- 13             as successor-in-interest to Fraser Boiler Works, engaged in the sale and/or
- 14             distribution of asbestos-containing refractory products used for the service, repair,
- 15             and/or installation of boilers and/or furnaces;
- 16       g) Ingersoll-Rand Company was and is a New Jersey corporation registered to do
- 17             business in the state of Oregon, engaged in the manufacture, sale, and/or distribution
- 18             of equipment utilizing asbestos-containing products. This equipment included pumps
- 19             and compressors.
- 20       h) Mar-Dustrial Sales, Inc. was and is an Oregon corporation registered to do business in
- 21             the state of Oregon, engaged in the manufacture, sale, and/or distribution of asbestos-
- 22             containing products. These products included gaskets.
- 23       i) Warren Pumps, LLC, successor in interest to Warren Pumps, Inc., Quimby Pumps
- 24             Co., and Warren Steam Pumps Co., is a Massachusetts LLC and was engaged in
- 25             manufacture, distribution, and sales of asbestos-containing machinery.

- 1           j) Goulds Pumps (IPG), Inc., was and is a Delaware corporation and was engaged in the  
2           manufacturing, distribution and sale of asbestos-containing pumps;  
3           k) Metropolitan Life Insurance Company was a New York corporation engaged in the  
4           business of providing a variety of insurance products, including life insurance,  
5           casualty and liability insurance, and workers' compensation insurance to a variety of  
6           customers, including corporations engaged in the manufacture, distribution, and sale  
7           of asbestos and asbestos-containing products.

8                 6.

9                 At all material times, which includes not only the period of plaintiff's work exposure, but  
10          also includes a period beginning in approximately 1929 and continuing thereafter, the  
11          Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, at the request of, and as part of its business service to,  
12          one or more of its insurance customers which engaged in asbestos-related business, conducted,  
13          either directly through its own employees or indirectly through commissioned studies and  
14          medical research, medical investigations, medical studies, and collection of medical and  
15          epidemiological data, concerning the disease producing capabilities of asbestos and asbestos-  
16          containing materials. Metropolitan Life provided the results of such investigation and studies,  
17          either by direct transmittal to companies engaged in asbestos related business or indirectly by  
18          dissemination of the information and medical knowledge that they had accumulated throughout  
19          asbestos-related industries. This transmittal and dissemination of information went to a variety  
20          of industrial companies and individuals, including the Defendants named herein. Medical  
21          investigation studies and information developed from the activities of Metropolitan Life  
22          Insurance Company, above described, included substantial evidence of the disease producing  
23          capability of asbestos and asbestos-related products. After collection and dissemination of the  
24          medical information and data, as described above, which collection and dissemination occurred  
25          in the 1930s and 1940s, the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company thereafter engaged in a

26

1 continuous and consistent course of conduct suppressing, minimizing, understating and denying  
2 the evidence of disease causing properties of asbestos and asbestos-related material.

3 7.

4 Decedent, Raymond Coury, was exposed to airborne asbestos fibers beginning in 1961 by  
5 directly working with asbestos-containing materials and by working in the vicinity of other  
6 workers utilizing these products. At all material times, Decedent was exposed to airborne  
7 asbestos fibers either through utilizing asbestos and asbestos-containing materials in the  
8 performance of his work, or by being exposed to airborne asbestos fibers created by persons who  
9 used, installed or fabricated asbestos-containing materials.

10 8.

11 Some or all of the Defendants' asbestos-containing products and materials released  
12 respirable asbestos fibers capable of causing Mesothelioma if inhaled by individuals, including  
13 the Decedent.

14 9.

15 The asbestos or asbestos-containing products of the Defendant suppliers, manufacturers  
16 or distributors were unreasonably dangerous and defective in that:

- 17 (a) The Defendants did not provide sufficient warnings and/or instructions of the harm  
18 caused by exposure to the Defendants' asbestos-containing products;
- 19 (b) The asbestos-containing products of the Defendants were capable of causing  
20 Mesothelioma if inhaled by individuals, including the Decedent, in their work  
21 places, including those set forth above.
- 22 (c) Individual workers, including the Decedent, were not warned to utilize proper  
23 respiratory protection to protect them from airborne asbestos fibers within their  
24 working environment.

1 10.

2 Defendant 3M's masks were dangerous and defective in that they failed to provide  
3 protection from the inhalation of asbestos fibers.

4 11.

5 As a result of the Decedent's exposure to the unreasonably dangerous and defective  
6 asbestos-containing products manufactured, distributed, sold, installed, applied or fabricated  
7 and/or the failure of the 3M dust masks, Decedent contracted Mesothelioma, from which  
8 Decedent has suffered pain, discomfort, fear, and interference with his daily activities and  
9 enjoyment of life, and has endured mental and emotional pain and suffering, all of which is  
10 permanent, all to the Decedent's non-economic damages in amount of \$950,000.

11 12.

12 As a result of the Decedent's exposure to the unreasonably dangerous and defective  
13 asbestos-containing products manufactured, distributed, sold, installed, applied or containing the  
14 Defendants' asbestos fiber, the Decedent contracted Mesothelioma, from which he has incurred  
15 doctor, hospital and medical expenses and will incur similar medical expenses in the future  
16 resulting in economic damages in the amount of \$75,000.

17 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

18 **(Negligence)**

19 13.

20 The Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 11.

21 14.

22 Defendants were negligent generally and in one or more of the following particulars:

- 23 (a) The Defendants did not provide sufficient warnings and/or instructions of the harm  
24 caused by exposure to the Defendants' asbestos-containing products when the  
25 Defendants, prior to the period of the Decedent's exposure to asbestos and

1 asbestos-containing products, possessed information concerning the adverse  
2 effects and disease-producing capabilities of those products;

3 (b) The Defendants failed to withdraw asbestos-containing products from the market  
4 prior to the Decedent's exposure, when the Defendants possessed information  
5 concerning the adverse effects and disease-producing capabilities of those  
6 products;

7 (c) The Defendants failed to determine the level of airborne asbestos fibers emitted by  
8 their products when the products were being used by the end user;

9 (d) The Defendants failed to conduct tests to determine the amount of asbestos to  
10 which the Decedent, or similarly situated workers, would be exposed, when  
11 engaging in the use of the products; and

12 (e) The Defendants failed to warn individual workers, including the Decedent,  
13 regarding the hazards associated with the use of the product.

14 15.

15 Defendant 3M was negligent in selling and marketing dust masks which it claimed  
16 provided protection from airborne asbestos fibers but failed to protect the Decedent from  
17 respirable asbestos fibers; when they knew or should have known that the mask did not provide  
18 adequate respiratory protection to individuals exposed to airborne asbestos fibers.

19 16.

20 As a result of the Defendants' negligence, the Decedent was exposed to airborne asbestos  
21 fibers from asbestos-containing products manufactured, distributed, sold, applied or installed by  
22 one or more of the Defendants; or containing the fiber mined and distributed by one or more of  
23 the Defendants, which caused him to contract Mesothelioma, from which, he has suffered pain  
24 discomfort, fear, and interference with his daily activities and enjoyment of life, and has endured  
25 mental and emotional pain and suffering, all of which is permanent, all to the Plaintiff's non-  
26 economic damages in amount of \$900,000.

Page 8 - COMPLAINT - PERSONAL INJURY

17.

As a result of the Defendants' negligence, the Decedent was exposed to airborne asbestos fibers from asbestos-containing products manufactured, distributed, sold, applied or installed by one or more of the Defendants; or containing the fiber mined and distributed by one or more of the Defendants, which caused him to contract Mesothelioma, from which he has incurred doctor, hospital and lost wages prior to death of \$75,000.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

**First Claim for Relief (Strict Liability):**

1. Non-economic damages in the amount of \$950,000.
2. Economic damages in the amount of \$75,000.
3. Plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred herein.
4. Any other costs this court deems equitable.

**Second Claim for Relief (Negligence):**

1. Non-economic damages in the amount of \$950,000.
2. Economic damages in the amount of \$75,000.
3. Plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred herein.
4. Any other costs this court deems equitable.

Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

**DATED:** February 24, 2016.

LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY S. MUTNICK



Jeffrey S. Mutnick OSB No. 721784  
*Of Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
Telephone: 503-595-1033  
Email: [jmutnick@mutnicklaw.com](mailto:jmutnick@mutnicklaw.com)  
*Of Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**Raymond Coury**  
**Exhibit A**

<b>Approximate Dates</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Manufacturers/ Distributors/ Suppliers</b>	<b>Asbestos Containing Product(s) &amp; Equipment</b>
1961-1980	Northwest Marine & Iron Works Shipyard, Portland, OR	Buffalo Pumps Westinghouse CH Murphy Foster Wheeler Fraser's Boiler General Electric Ingersoll Rand Mardustrial Sales Warren Pumps	Gaskets, packing, friction materials, valves, insulation materials Turbines, generators, insulation materials, gaskets, packing. Insulation materials, refractory materials, friction materials, gaskets, packing, brick Boilers, refractory materials, insulation materials, gaskets, packing, brick Insulation materials, refractory materials, friction materials, gaskets, packing, brick Turbines, generators, insulation materials, gaskets, packing. Gaskets, packing, friction materials, valves, insulation materials Insulation materials, refractory materials, friction materials, gaskets, packing Gaskets, packing, friction materials,

		Goulds Pumps	valves, insulation materials  Gaskets, packing, friction materials, valves, insulation materials
1962-1967	Albina Engine & Machine Works Shipyard, Portland, OR	Same as above	Same as above